

Untangling Shared AI and Intellectual Property: Licence, Transfer or Rebuild?

A Decision Architecture for Rights, Portability, Continuity and Independent Capability

Authored by Chennakeshav Adya, Independent Researcher

September 2026

Abstract

A carve-out can leave the transferred and retained businesses dependent on the same artificial-intelligence models, code, training data, patents, trade secrets, brands, infrastructure and specialist know-how. The legal title may sit in one entity while operational utility spans several products and jurisdictions. This paper develops an evidence-led framework for choosing among transfer, exclusive or non-exclusive licence, field-of-use division, controlled clone, clean rebuild, transitional service and retirement. The analysis begins with business-critical use cases and maps the asset stack, chain of title, background and foreground intellectual property, third-party components, training-data rights, model provenance, output rights, patent position, trade-secret controls, digital identity and regulatory roles. It treats an AI model as a composite capability rather than a single file: weights may depend on data provenance, feature definitions, pipelines, interfaces, evaluations, monitoring, security controls and people. Strategic alternatives are tested against legal enforceability, technical portability, operating continuity, competitive boundaries, time, cost and future optionality. Transfer and licence designs specify scope, field, territory, exclusivity, improvements, enforcement, reversion, registrations and notices. Clone and rebuild options require clean inputs, segregated teams, documented provenance and functional validation. A value bridge connects licence loss, rebuild delay, model decay, claim exposure and service interruption to revenue, margin, cash flow and transaction protection. Day-One rehearsals test inference, access, integrations, monitoring and incident response. Closure requires independently usable rights and capability for both businesses, perfected registrations, controlled residual access and explicit allocation of future improvements and claims. Five figures, five tables, eight frequently asked questions and twenty-six primary or authoritative sources support transaction-specific review. Quantified figures are illustrative evidence indices rather than forecasts. The framework does not determine ownership, inventorship, authorship, patentability, copyright subsistence, database rights, trade-secret status, lawful training, regulatory classification, competition-law compliance, tax treatment or transfer validity, and does not replace authorised legal, intellectual-property, data, privacy, cyber, technology, tax, valuation or transaction advice.

JEL Classification: G34, K11, K24, O31, O34

Keywords: artificial intelligence, intellectual property, carve-out, licensing, model transfer, clean rebuild, M&A

1. Define the shared AI and IP perimeter

Identify products, models, code, data, patents, content, know-how and people used by both businesses.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an AI-and-IP perimeter charter.

The principal failure occurs when legal-entity ownership describes operational dependency. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for define the shared ai and ip perimeter should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

2. Map business-critical use cases

Connect each AI capability and IP asset to products, revenue, customers, controls and Day-One processes.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a use-case dependency map.

The principal failure occurs when an asset list proves business criticality. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for map business-critical use cases should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

3. Build the chain of title

Trace employee, contractor, founder, university, joint-development and acquired rights.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a chain-of-title dossier.

The principal failure occurs when payment proves assignment. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for build the chain of title should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

4. Classify the asset stack

Separate patents, copyright, database rights, trade secrets, trademarks, data, models and contractual rights.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a layered asset register.

The principal failure occurs when AI is treated as one indivisible asset. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for classify the asset stack should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

5. Distinguish background and foreground IP

Allocate pre-existing technology, transaction-developed improvements and jointly created results.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a background-foreground matrix.

The principal failure occurs when all improvements follow the transferred business. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for distinguish background and foreground ip should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

6. Trace third-party components

Inventory open-source software, pretrained models, APIs, datasets, libraries and embedded services.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a third-party dependency register.

The principal failure occurs when commercial availability creates transferability. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for trace third-party components should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

7. Map licence rights

Test assignment, sublicensing, change of control, field, territory, duration, exclusivity and termination.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a licence-rights matrix.

The principal failure occurs when a right to use is a right to transfer. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for map licence rights should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

8. Map training-data rights

Trace provenance, collection terms, reservations, licences, privacy duties and permitted model uses.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a training-data provenance file.

The principal failure occurs when lawful possession settles training rights. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.


The decision pack for map training-data rights should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

Table 1. Rights-and-dependency register

Layer	Core question	Evidence
model	ownership and permitted use	model file
data	provenance and purpose	rights ledger
code	authors and licences	software bill
know-how	custody and transfer	expert map

Illustrative analytical design; company-specific evidence and professional advice govern.

Figure 1. AI and IP evidence readiness



Values are illustrative evidence indices and require company-specific support.

9. Map model provenance

Document base models, fine-tuning, retrieval sources, prompts, evaluations and modifications.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a model lineage graph.

The principal failure occurs when model weights reveal the whole lineage. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for map model provenance should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

10. Map output rights

Assess human contribution, contractual allocation, confidentiality and downstream restrictions.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an output-rights protocol.

The principal failure occurs when every AI output receives copyright automatically. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for map output rights should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

11. Test patent position

Map claims, inventors, owners, jurisdictions, prosecution status and technical contribution.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a patent position schedule.

The principal failure occurs when an AI label establishes patentability. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for test patent position should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

12. Test trade-secret continuity

Identify secrecy measures, access, repositories, disclosure history and residual know-how.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a trade-secret continuity plan.

The principal failure occurs when confidentiality clauses preserve secrecy by themselves. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for test trade-secret continuity should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

13. Separate trademarks and digital identity

Allocate names, domains, model names, handles, app-store assets and customer-facing identifiers.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a digital identity schedule.

The principal failure occurs when corporate-name transfer covers every digital identifier. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for separate trademarks and digital identity should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

14. Map regulatory roles

Identify provider, deployer, importer, distributor, modifier and downstream obligations.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an AI regulatory responsibility map.

The principal failure occurs when the sale agreement determines statutory roles. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for map regulatory roles should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

15. Map model documentation

Preserve intended purpose, capabilities, limitations, data summary, testing, risk and energy information.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a model documentation pack.

The principal failure occurs when technical documentation can be recreated after completion. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for map model documentation should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

16. Map security obligations

Trace model access, secrets, credentials, supply chain, incident history and adversarial testing.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an AI security control register.

The principal failure occurs when code transfer preserves model security. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for map security obligations should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.


Table 2. Separation alternatives

Alternative	Best fit	Critical test
transfer	discrete ownership	complete chain of title
exclusive licence	retained legal title	economic equivalence
shared licence	continuing dual use	governable boundaries
rebuild	non-transferable estate	clean capability proof

Illustrative analytical design; company-specific evidence and professional advice govern.

Figure 2. Alternative-design resilience

FIGURE 2. ALTERNATIVE-DESIGN RESILIENCE



Values are illustrative evidence indices and require company-specific support.

17. Quantify asset dependency

Measure revenue, margin, renewal, service, product and compliance reliance on each shared asset.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an asset-dependency model.

The principal failure occurs when dependency is adequately described as high or low. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for quantify asset dependency should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

18. Identify indivisible assets

Find models, platforms, datasets, patents and teams whose separation destroys utility.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an indivisibility decision log.

The principal failure occurs when every asset can be divided by contract. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for identify indivisible assets should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

19. Define strategic alternatives

Compare transfer, exclusive licence, non-exclusive licence, field split, clone, rebuild, service and retirement.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an alternatives architecture.

The principal failure occurs when ownership transfer is always superior to licensing. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for define strategic alternatives should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

20. Design a transfer

Specify assets, rights, deliverables, registrations, consents, warranties and operational handover.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a transfer implementation plan.

The principal failure occurs when a schedule of titles completes an AI transfer. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for design a transfer should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

21. Design an exclusive licence

Define exclusivity, field, territory, duration, improvements, enforcement and reversion.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an exclusive-licence term sheet.

The principal failure occurs when exclusive use is economically identical to ownership. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for design an exclusive licence should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

22. Design a non-exclusive licence

Allocate shared use, competition boundaries, service levels, information rights and conflicts.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a shared-use governance plan.

The principal failure occurs when shared rights create no operating friction. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for design a non-exclusive licence should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

23. Design a field-of-use split

Separate customers, products, geographies, industries and applications with measurable boundaries.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a field-of-use control map.

The principal failure occurs when field definitions remain stable as models evolve. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for design a field-of-use split should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

24. Design a model clone

Test whether weights, data rights, pipelines, tooling, documentation and expertise can be duplicated.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a clone feasibility assessment.

The principal failure occurs when copying a repository creates a portable model. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.


The decision pack for design a model clone should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

Table 3. Model portability stack

Component	Separation dependency	Proof
weights	rights and compatibility	controlled copy
training estate	provenance and access	lineage record
pipeline	code and infrastructure	rebuild test
operations	monitoring and expertise	service rehearsal

Illustrative analytical design; company-specific evidence and professional advice govern.

Figure 3. Model portability evidence



Values are illustrative evidence indices and require company-specific support.

25. Design a rebuild

Define functional requirements, clean-room inputs, people, data, validation, cost and timetable.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a clean rebuild plan.

The principal failure occurs when rebuild cost equals engineering payroll. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for design a rebuild should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

26. Design transitional access

Time-bound APIs, repositories, experts, tooling, hosting and support.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an AI transition-service schedule.

The principal failure occurs when temporary access can remain informal. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for design transitional access should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

27. Allocate improvements

Determine ownership and use of fine-tuning, adaptations, feedback, derivative models and inventions.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an improvement-rights mechanism.

The principal failure occurs when improvements belong automatically to the operator. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for allocate improvements should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

28. Allocate enforcement

Assign monitoring, prosecution, defence, settlement, cooperation, cost and recovery.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an enforcement protocol.

The principal failure occurs when the legal owner will enforce for both parties. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for allocate enforcement should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

29. Control open-source obligations

Trace licences, notices, source obligations, attribution, copyleft and model terms.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an open-source compliance bill.

The principal failure occurs when open source means unrestricted commercial transfer. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for control open-source obligations should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

30. Protect competition-sensitive information

Design clean teams, access purpose, output controls and expiry for shared technology.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a technology clean-team protocol.

The principal failure occurs when an NDA resolves pre-close competition risk. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for protect competition-sensitive information should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

31. Plan employee and know-how transfer

Map inventors, maintainers, domain experts, restrictions, incentives and documentation.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a critical-knowledge transfer plan.

The principal failure occurs when code and patents substitute for tacit knowledge. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for plan employee and know-how transfer should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

32. Define valuation scenarios

Value transfer, licence, rebuild, delay, degradation and stranded assets through cash-flow effects.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an AI-and-IP value bridge.

The principal failure occurs when book value captures AI and IP economics. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for define valuation scenarios should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.


Table 4. Value-and-risk bridge

Driver	Value channel	Control
licence loss	revenue and margin	consent gate
rebuild delay	cash flow and launch	milestone plan
model decay	quality and renewal	validation suite
claim exposure	cost and injunction	protection matrix

Illustrative analytical design; company-specific evidence and professional advice govern.

Figure 4. Separation value protection

FIGURE 4. SEPARATION VALUE PROTECTION



Values are illustrative evidence indices and require company-specific support.

33. Price execution risk

Quantify consent failure, licence loss, claim exposure, rebuild delay, model decay and service disruption.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a risk-adjusted consideration bridge.

The principal failure occurs when legal risk belongs outside valuation. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for price execution risk should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

34. Draft transaction protections

Link title, provenance, compliance and operability evidence to conditions, covenants, indemnities and price.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an evidence-linked protection matrix.

The principal failure occurs when broad IP warranties cure missing diligence. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for draft transaction protections should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

35. Test Day-One operability

Rehearse access, inference, integrations, monitoring, rights, expertise and incident response.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a Day-One AI operability certificate.

The principal failure occurs when legal completion proves technical operability. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for test day-one operability should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

36. Test seller continuity

Confirm retained rights, tooling, data, people, brands and controls remain usable.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a retained-business continuity certificate.

The principal failure occurs when buyer operability is the only separation test. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for test seller continuity should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

37. Govern the interim state

Control change, retraining, releases, filings, incidents, access and improvements before separation closes.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an interim AI governance register.

The principal failure occurs when a general conduct covenant controls model evolution. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for govern the interim state should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

38. Execute registrations and notices

Complete assignments, licence records, domain changes, consents and counterparty notices.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is a perfection and notice checklist.

The principal failure occurs when contract signature updates every external register. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for execute registrations and notices should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

39. Certify independent capability

Evidence lawful rights, technical portability, operational control, security and accountable ownership.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an independent-capability certificate.

The principal failure occurs when successful inference proves independence. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for certify independent capability should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.

40. Close residual entanglement

Resolve shared accounts, copies, model lineage, licences, repositories, claims, support and future improvements.

The controlled record should identify the relevant customer, revenue stream, contract, cohort, relationship owner, commercial dependency, evidence source, baseline, trend, scenario, control, exception, accountable executive, deadline and approval. The immediate deliverable is an AI-and-IP separation closure report.

The principal failure occurs when project closure eliminates residual AI and IP dependency. Reviewers should connect the evidence to revenue durability, gross margin, cash conversion, renewal probability, switching behaviour, counterparty credit and transaction value; distinguish contractual protection from observed customer behaviour; and test whether the conclusion survives a downside scenario.

The decision pack for close residual entanglement should state the commercial question, measurement perimeter, historical evidence, customer-specific facts, forecast logic, sensitivity, management action, buyer implication, residual uncertainty and next gate. Material exceptions should flow into the valuation model, quality-of-earnings work, diligence room, sale-process narrative, transaction protections and board reporting.


Table 5. Closure certificate

Domain	Required evidence	Accountable owner
rights	title, licence and filings	legal
technology	portable working capability	technology
operations	people, process and service	business
risk	security and compliance	risk executive

Illustrative analytical design; company-specific evidence and professional advice govern.

Figure 5. Independent-capability proof

FIGURE 5. INDEPENDENT-CAPABILITY PROOF



Values are illustrative evidence indices and require company-specific support.

References

- [1] World Intellectual Property Organization, WIPO and Artificial Intelligence, <https://www.wipo.int/en/web/wipo-and-ai>
- [2] World Intellectual Property Organization, Frequently Asked Questions: AI and IP Policy, <https://www.wipo.int/en/web/frontier-technologies/artificial-intelligence/faq>
- [3] World Intellectual Property Organization, The WIPO Conversation on Intellectual Property and Frontier Technologies, https://www.wipo.int/en/web/frontier-technologies/frontier_conversation
- [4] World Intellectual Property Organization, IP Policies for Universities and Research Institutions, <https://www.wipo.int/en/web/technology-transfer/ip-policies>
- [5] European Commission, AI Act, <https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/factpages/ai-act>
- [6] European Commission, Guidelines on the Scope of Obligations for Providers of General-Purpose AI Models, <https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/guidelines-scope-obligations-providers-general-purpose-ai-models-under-ai-act>

- [7] European Commission, General-Purpose AI Code of Practice, <https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/contents-code-gpai>
- [8] European Commission, Guidelines for Providers of General-Purpose AI Models, <https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/guidelines-gpai-providers>
- [9] European Commission, Standard Contractual Clauses for International Transfers, https://commission.europa.eu/law/law-topic/data-protection/international-dimension-data-protection/standard-contractual-clauses-scc_en
- [10] UK Government, Copyright and Artificial Intelligence Consultation, <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/copyright-and-artificial-intelligence/copyright-and-artificial-intelligence>
- [11] UK Intellectual Property Office, Examining Patent Applications Relating to Artificial Intelligence Inventions, <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/examining-patent-applications-relating-to-artificial-intelligence-ai-inventions>
- [12] UK Intellectual Property Office, Examining Patent Applications Involving Artificial Neural Networks, <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/examining-patent-applications-involving-artificial-neural-networks>
- [13] US Copyright Office, Copyright and Artificial Intelligence, <https://www.copyright.gov/ai/>
- [14] US Patent and Trademark Office, Revised Inventorship Guidance for AI-Assisted Inventions, <https://www.uspto.gov/subscription-center/2025/revised-inventorship-guidance-ai-assisted-inventions>
- [15] US Patent and Trademark Office, FAQs on Inventorship Guidance for AI-Assisted Inventions, <https://www.uspto.gov/initiatives/artificial-intelligence/faqs>
- [16] European Patent Office, Guidelines for Examination: Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning, https://www.epo.org/en/legal/guidelines-epc/2025/g_ii_3_3_1.html
- [17] NIST, Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework, <https://www.nist.gov/itl/ai-risk-management-framework>
- [18] NIST, Cybersecurity Framework 2.0, <https://www.nist.gov/cyberframework>
- [19] NIST, Secure Software Development Framework, <https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/ssdf>
- [20] NIST, Cybersecurity Supply Chain Risk Management Practices, <https://csrc.nist.gov/pubs/sp/800/161/r1/upd1/final>
- [21] OECD, AI Principles, <https://oecd.ai/en/ai-principles>
- [22] Open Source Initiative, Open Source AI Definition, <https://opensource.org/ai/open-source-ai-definition>
- [23] SPDX, Software Package Data Exchange Specification, <https://spdx.dev/specifications/>
- [24] International Organization for Standardization, ISO/IEC 42001 Artificial Intelligence Management Systems, <https://www.iso.org/standard/81230.html>
- [25] International Organization for Standardization, ISO/IEC 27001 Information Security Management, <https://www.iso.org/standard/27001>
- [26] International Valuation Standards Council, International Valuation Standards, <https://ivsc.org/standards/>

About the Author

Authored by Chennakeshav Adya, Independent Researcher

Chennakeshav (CK) is a corporate finance and investment banking executive with 25+ years of global experience in deal origination, structuring and execution across M&A, growth capital and corporate strategy. He has led value-creation mandates for founders, corporates and funds — bridging the boardroom view to hands-on execution and close.

His career spans Morgan Stanley, HSBC, Lloyds Banking Group, EWEC, ADQ portfolio companies and Emirates Growth Fund, across TMT, real estate, fintech, deeptech, cleantech, infrastructure and energy. He has partnered with C-suite leaders, private equity and venture funds, sovereign wealth funds and family offices to finance complex fund raises and scale-up ventures, and has led M&A due diligence, post-merger integration and business-transformation initiatives to create value.

At Matchpoint Partners he is Managing Partner, leading the firm's corporate finance, M&A and capital-raising practice. He holds an MBA from London Business School, an engineering degree from VTU and a Master of Laws (LLM, in progress) from UCL London.

An active start-up mentor, CK mentors at Techstars, DIFC FinTech Hive, Startup Grind, Founder Institute and IN5, serves as Entrepreneur Mentor in Residence (EMiR) at London Business School, and judges the Entrepreneurship World Cup.